

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Action-angle coordinates for time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 L439

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/29/102)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.107

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:15

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 (2002) L439-L445

PII: S0305-4470(02)36186-9

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Action—angle coordinates for time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

Giovanni Giachetta¹, Luigi Mangiarotti¹ and Gennadi Sardanashvily²

Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy
 Department of Theoretical Physics, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University,
 117234 Moscow, Russia

E-mail: giovanni.giachetta@unicam.it, luigi.mangiarotti@unicam.it and sard@grav.phys.msu.su

Received 24 April 2002 Published 12 July 2002 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/L439

Abstract

A time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian system is proved to admit the action-angle coordinates around any instantly compact regular invariant manifold. Written relative to these coordinates, its Hamiltonian and first integrals are functions only of action coordinates.

PACS numbers: 45.20.Jj, 02.30.Ik

1. Introduction

A time-dependent Hamiltonian system of m degrees of freedom is called a completely integrable system (CIS), if it admits m independent first integrals in involution. In order to provide this with action-angle coordinates, we use the fact that a time-dependent CIS of m degrees of freedom can be extended to an autonomous Hamiltonian system of m + 1degrees of freedom where time is regarded as a dynamic variable [2, 3, 7]. We show that it is an autonomous CIS; however, the classical theorem [1, 5] on action-angle coordinates cannot be applied to this CIS since its invariant manifolds are never compact because of the time axis. Generalizing this theorem, we first prove that there is a system of action-angle coordinates in an open neighbourhood U of a regular invariant manifold M of an autonomous CIS if Hamiltonian vector fields of first integrals on U are complete and the foliation of U by invariant manifolds is trivial. If M is compact, these conditions always hold [5]. Afterwards, we show that, if a regular connected invariant manifold of a time-dependent CIS is compact at each instant, it is diffeomorphic to the product of the time axis \mathbb{R} and an *m*-dimensional torus T^{m} , and it admits an open neighbourhood equipped with the time-dependent action-angle coordinates $(I_i; t, \phi^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, where t is the Cartesian coordinate on \mathbb{R} and ϕ^i are cyclic coordinates on T^m . Written with respect to these coordinates, a Hamiltonian and the first integrals of a time-dependent CIS are functions only of action coordinates I_i .

L440 Letter to the Editor

For instance, there are action–angle coordinates $(\overline{I}_i; \overline{\phi}^i)$ such that a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS vanishes. They are particular initial data coordinates, constant along the trajectories of a Hamiltonian system. Furthermore, given an arbitrary smooth function \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{R}^m , there exist action–angle coordinates $(I_i; \phi^i)$, obtained by the relevant time-dependent canonical transformations of $(\overline{I}_i; \overline{\phi}^i)$, such that a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS with respect to these coordinates equals $\mathcal{H}(I_i)$. Thus, time-dependent action–angle coordinates provide a solution to the problem of representing a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS in terms of first integrals [4, 6]. However, this representation need not hold with respect to any coordinate system because a Hamiltonian fails to be a scalar under time-dependent canonical transformations.

2. Time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

Recall that the configuration space of a time-dependent mechanical system is a fibre bundle $Q \to \mathbb{R}$ over the time axis \mathbb{R} equipped with the bundle coordinates (t, q^k) , $k = 1, \ldots, m$. The corresponding momentum phase space is the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q of $Q \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with holonomic coordinates $(t, q^k, p_k = \dot{q}_k)$ [8–10]. The cotangent bundle T^*Q , coordinated by $(q^\lambda, p_\lambda) = (t, q^k, p_0, p_k)$, is the homogeneous momentum phase space of time-dependent mechanics. It is provided with the canonical Liouville form $\Xi = p_\lambda \, \mathrm{d} q^\lambda$, the canonical symplectic form $\Omega = \mathrm{d} p_\lambda \wedge \mathrm{d} q^\lambda$, and the corresponding Poisson bracket

$$\{f, f'\}_T = \partial^{\lambda} f \partial_{\lambda} f' - \partial_{\lambda} f \partial^{\lambda} f' \qquad f, f' \in C^{\infty}(T^*Q). \tag{1}$$

There is the one-dimensional trivial affine bundle

$$\zeta: T^*Q \to V^*Q. \tag{2}$$

Given its global section h, one can equip T^*Q with the global fibre coordinate $r=p_0-h$. The fibre bundle (2) provides the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q with the canonical Poisson structure $\{\,,\,\}_V$ such that

$$\zeta^* \{ f, f' \}_V = \{ \zeta^* f, \zeta^* f' \}_T \qquad \forall f, f' \in C^{\infty}(V^* Q)$$
 (3)

$$\{f, f'\}_{V} = \partial^{k} f \partial_{k} f' - \partial_{k} f \partial^{k} f'. \tag{4}$$

A Hamiltonian of time-dependent mechanics is defined as a global section

$$h: V^*Q \to T^*Q$$
 $p_0 \circ h = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_i)$

of the affine bundle ζ (2) [8, 9]. It yields the pull-back Hamiltonian form

$$H = h^* \Xi = p_k \, \mathrm{d}q^k - \mathcal{H} \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{5}$$

on V^*Q . Then there exists a unique vector field γ_H on V^*Q such that

$$\gamma_H \rfloor dt = 1 \qquad \gamma_H \rfloor dH = 0
\gamma_H = \partial_t + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k.$$
(6)

Its trajectories obey the Hamilton equation

$$\dot{q}^k = \partial^k \mathcal{H} \qquad \dot{p}_k = -\partial_k \mathcal{H}. \tag{7}$$

The first integral of the Hamilton equation (7) is a smooth real function F on V^*Q whose Lie derivative

$$\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H}F = \gamma_H \rfloor dF = \partial_t F + \{\mathcal{H}, F\}_V$$

along the vector field γ_H (6) vanishes, i.e. F is constant on trajectories of γ_H . A time-dependent Hamiltonian system (V^*Q, H) is said to be completely integrable if the Hamilton

Letter to the Editor L441

equation (7) admits m first integrals F_k which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{,\}_V$ (4), and whose differentials dF_k are linearly independent almost everywhere (i.e. the set of points where this condition fails is nowhere dense). One can associate this CIS with an autonomous CIS on T^*Q as follows.

Let us consider the pull-back ζ^*H of the Hamiltonian form H (5) onto the cotangent bundle T^*Q . It is readily observed that

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \partial_t | (\Xi - \zeta^* h^* \Xi) = p_0 + \mathcal{H}$$
 (8)

is a function on T^*Q . Let us regard \mathcal{H}^* as a Hamiltonian of an autonomous Hamiltonian system on the symplectic manifold (T^*Q, Ω) [10]. Its Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_T = \partial_t - \partial_t \mathcal{H} \partial^0 + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k \tag{9}$$

is projected onto the vector field γ_H (6) on V^*Q so that

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H}f) = \{\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^*f\}_T \qquad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(V^*Q).$$

An immediate consequence of this relation is the following.

Theorem 1. (i) Given a time-dependent CIS $(\mathcal{H}; F_k)$ on V^*Q , the Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^*F_k)$ on T^*Q is a CIS. (ii) Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of $(\mathcal{H}; F_k)$. Then $h(N) \subset T^*Q$ is a connected regular invariant manifold of the autonomous CIS $(\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^*F_k)$.

Hereafter, we assume that the vector field γ_H (6) is complete. In this case, the Hamilton equation (7) admits a unique global solution through each point of the momentum phase space V^*Q , and trajectories of γ_H define a trivial fibre bundle $V^*Q \to V_t^*Q$ over any fibre V_t^*Q of $V^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, we choose the fibre $i_0: V_0^*Q \to V^*Q$ at t=0. Since N is an invariant manifold, the fibration

$$\xi: V^*Q \to V_0^*Q \tag{10}$$

also yields the fibration of N onto $N_0 = N \cap V_0^* Q$ such that $N \cong \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ is a trivial bundle.

3. Time-dependent action-angle coordinates

Let us introduce the action–angle coordinates around an invariant manifold N of a time-dependent CIS on V^*Q using the action–angle coordinates around the invariant manifold h(N) of the autonomous CIS on T^*Q in theorem 1. Since N and, consequently, h(N) are non-compact, we first prove the following.

Theorem 2. Let M be a connected invariant manifold of an autonomous CIS $\{F_{\lambda}\}$, $\lambda = 1, \ldots, n$, on a symplectic manifold (Z, Ω_Z) . Let U be an open neighbourhood of M such that: (i) the differentials dF_{λ} are independent everywhere on U, (ii) the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_{λ} of the first integrals F_{λ} on U are complete and (iii) the submersion $\times F_{\lambda} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a trivial bundle of invariant manifolds over a domain $V' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then U is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = V' \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m) \tag{11}$$

provided with the action-angle coordinates

$$(I_1, \dots, I_n; x^1, \dots, x^{n-m}; \phi^1, \dots, \phi^m)$$
 (12)

such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega_Z = dI_a \wedge dx^a + dI_i \wedge d\phi^i$$

and the first integrals F_{λ} depend only on the action coordinates I_{α} .

L442 Letter to the Editor

In accordance with the well-known theorem [1], the invariant manifold M is diffeomorphic to the product $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m$, which is the group space of the quotient $G = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^m$ of the group \mathbb{R}^n generated by Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_{λ} of first integrals F_{λ} on M. Namely, M is provided with the group space coordinates $(y^{\lambda}) = (s^a, \varphi^i)$ where φ^i are linear functions of parameters s^{λ} along integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_{λ} on U. Let (J_{λ}) be coordinates on V' which are values of first integrals F_{λ} . Let us choose a trivialization of the fibre bundle $U \to V$ seen as a principal bundle with the structure group G. We fix its global section χ . Since parameters s^{λ} are given up to a shift, let us provide each fibre M_J , $J \in V$, with the group space coordinates (y^{λ}) centred at the point $\chi(J)$. Then $(J_{\lambda}; y^{\lambda})$ are bundle coordinates on the annulus W' (11). Since M_J are Lagrangian manifolds, the symplectic form Ω_Z on W' is given relative to the bundle coordinates $(J_{\lambda}; y^{\lambda})$ by

$$\Omega_Z = \Omega^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathrm{d}J_\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}J_\beta + \Omega^\alpha_\beta \, \mathrm{d}J_\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}y^\beta. \tag{13}$$

By the very definition of coordinates (y^{λ}) , the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_{λ} of first integrals take the coordinate form $\vartheta_{\lambda} = \vartheta_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(J_{\mu})\partial_{\alpha}$. Moreover, since the cyclic group S^{1} cannot act transitively on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\vartheta_a = \partial_a + \vartheta_a^i(J_\lambda)\partial_i \qquad \vartheta_i = \vartheta_i^k(J_\lambda)\partial_k. \tag{14}$$

The Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_{λ} obey the relations

$$\partial_{\lambda} \rfloor \Omega_{Z} = -\mathrm{d}J_{\lambda} \qquad \Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} \partial_{\lambda}^{\beta} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha}. \tag{15}$$

It follows that Ω^{α}_{β} is a non-degenerate matrix and $\vartheta^{\alpha}_{\lambda} = (\Omega^{-1})^{\alpha}_{\lambda}$, i.e. the functions Ω^{α}_{β} depend only on coordinates J_{λ} . A substitution of (14) into (15) results in the equalities

$$\Omega_b^a = \delta_b^a \qquad \vartheta_a^\lambda \Omega_\lambda^i = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^j = \delta_i^j \qquad \vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^a = 0. \tag{17}$$

The first of the equalities (17) shows that the matrix Ω_k^j is non-degenerate, and so is the matrix ϑ_i^k . The second one gives $\Omega_k^a = 0$. By virtue of the well-known Künneth formula for the de Rham cohomology of a product of manifolds, the closed form Ω_Z (13) on W' (11) is exact, i.e. $\Omega_Z = d\Xi$ where Ξ reads

$$\Xi = \Xi^{\alpha}(J_{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}) dJ_{\alpha} + \Xi_{i}(J_{\lambda}) d\varphi^{i} + \partial_{\alpha} \Phi(J_{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}) dy^{\alpha}$$

where Φ is a function on W'. Taken up to an exact form, Ξ is brought into the form

$$\Xi = \Xi^{\prime \alpha}(J_{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}) \, \mathrm{d}J_{\alpha} + \Xi_{i}(J_{\lambda}) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{i}. \tag{18}$$

Owing to the fact that components of $d\Xi = \Omega_Z$ are independent of y^{λ} and obey the equalities (16) and (17), we obtain the following.

- (i) $\Omega_i^a = -\partial_i \Xi^{\prime a} + \partial^a \Xi_i = 0$. It follows that $\partial_i \Xi^{\prime a}$ is independent of φ^i , i.e. $\Xi^{\prime a}$ is affine in φ^i and, consequently, is independent of φ^i since φ^i are cyclic coordinates. Hence, $\partial^a \Xi_i = 0$, i.e. Ξ_i is a function only of coordinates J_i .
- (ii) $\Omega_i^k = -\partial_i \Xi^{\prime k} + \partial^k \Xi_i$. Similarly to item (i), one shows that $\Xi^{\prime k}$ is independent of φ^i and $\Omega_i^k = \partial^k \Xi_i$, i.e. $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is a non-degenerate matrix.
- (iii) $\Omega_b^a = -\partial_b \Xi'^a = \delta_b^a$. Hence, $\Xi'^a = -s^a + D^a(J_\lambda)$. (iv) $\Omega_b^i = -\partial_b \Xi'^i$, i.e. Ξ'^i is affine in s^a .

In view of items (i)–(iv), the Liouville form Ξ (18) reads

$$\Xi = x^a dJ_a + \left[D^i(J_\lambda) + B^i_a(J_\lambda) s^a \right] dJ_i + \Xi_i(J_j) d\varphi^i$$

Letter to the Editor L443

where we put

$$x^{a} = -\Xi'^{a} = s^{a} - D^{a}(J_{\lambda}). \tag{19}$$

Since the matrix $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is non-degenerate, one can introduce new coordinates $I_i = \Xi_i(J_j)$, $I_a = J_a$. Then we have

$$\Xi = -x^a dI_a + \left[D^{\prime i}(I_\lambda) + B_a^{\prime i}(I_\lambda) s^a \right] dI_i + I_i d\varphi^i.$$

Finally, put

$$\phi^{i} = \varphi^{i} - \left[D^{\prime i}(I_{\lambda}) + B_{a}^{\prime i}(I_{\lambda}) s^{a} \right] \tag{20}$$

in order to obtain the desired action-angle coordinates

$$I_a = J_a$$
 $I_i(J_i)$ $x^a = s^a + S^a(J_\lambda)$ $\phi^i = \varphi^i + S^i(J_\lambda, s^b).$

These are bundle coordinates on $U \to V'$ where the coordinate shifts (19) and (20) correspond to a choice of another trivialization of $U \to V'$.

Of course, the action–angle coordinates (12) are by no means unique. For instance, let \mathcal{F}_a , $a=1,\ldots,n-m$ be an arbitrary smooth function on \mathbb{R}^m . Let us consider the canonical coordinate transformation

$$I'_{a} = I_{a} - \mathcal{F}_{a}(I_{i})$$
 $I'_{k} = I_{k}$ $x'^{a} = x^{a}$ $\phi'^{i} = \phi^{i} + x^{a} \partial^{i} \mathcal{F}_{a}(I_{i}).$ (21)

Then $(I'_a, I'_k; x'^a, \phi'^k)$ are action—angle coordinates on the symplectic annulus which differ from W'(11) in another trivialization.

Now, we apply theorem 2 to the CISs in theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of a time-dependent CIS on V^*Q , and let the image N_0 of its projection ξ (10) be compact. Then the invariant manifold h(N) of the autonomous CIS on T^*Q has an open neighbourhood U obeying the condition of theorem 2.

Proof. (i) We first show that functions $i_0^*F_k$ make up a CIS on the symplectic leaf (V_0^*Q,Ω_0) and N_0 is its invariant manifold without critical points (i.e. where first integrals fail to be dependent). Clearly, the functions $i_0^*F_k$ are in involution, and N_0 is their connected invariant manifold. Let us show that the set of critical points of $\{i_0^*F_k\}$ is nowhere dense in V_0^*Q and N_0 has none of these points. Let V_0^*Q be equipped with some coordinates $(\overline{q}^k, \overline{p}_k)$. Then the trivial bundle ξ (10) is provided with the bundle coordinates $(t, \overline{q}^k, \overline{p}_k)$ which play a role of the initial date coordinates on the momentum phase space V^*Q . Written with respect to these coordinates, the first integrals F_k become time-independent. It follows that

$$dF_k(y) = di_0^* F_k(\xi(y)) \tag{22}$$

for any point $y \in V^*Q$. In particular, if $y_0 \in V_0^*Q$ is a critical point of $\{i_0^*F_k\}$, then the trajectory $\xi^{-1}(y_0)$ is a critical set for the first integrals $\{F_k\}$. The desired statement at once follows from this result.

(ii) Since N_0 obeys the condition in item (i), there is an open neighbourhood of N_0 in V_0^*Q isomorphic to $V \times N_0$ where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a domain, and $\{v\} \times N_0$, $v \in V$, are also invariant manifolds in V_0^*Q [5]. Then

$$W = \xi^{-1}(V \times N_0) \cong V \times N \tag{23}$$

is an open neighbourhood in V^*Q of the invariant manifold N foliated by invariant manifolds $\xi^{-1}(\{v\} \times N_0), v \in V$, of the time-dependent CIS on V^*Q . By virtue of the equality (22),

L444 Letter to the Editor

the first integrals $\{F_k\}$ have no critical points in W. For any real number $r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, let us consider a section

$$h_r: V^*Q \to T^*Q$$
 $p_0 \circ h_r = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_i) + r$

of the affine bundle ζ (2). Then the images $h_r(W)$ of W (23) make up an open neighbourhood U of h(N) in T^*Q . Because $\zeta(U)=W$, the pull-backs ζ^*F_k of first integrals F_k are free from critical points in U, and so is the function \mathcal{H}^* (8). Since the coordinate $r=p_0-h$ provides a trivialization of the affine bundle ζ , the open neighbourhood U of h(N) is diffeomorphic to the product

$$(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times h(W) \cong (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V \times h(N)$$

which is a trivialization of the fibration

$$\mathcal{H}^* \times (\times \zeta^* F_k) : U \to (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V.$$

(iii) It remains to prove that the Hamiltonian vector fields of \mathcal{H}^* and ζ^*F_k on U are complete. It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T (9) of \mathcal{H}^* is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W)$, and is the image $\gamma_T = Th_r \circ \gamma_H \circ \zeta$ of the vector field γ_H (6). The latter is complete on W, and so is γ_T on U. Similarly, the Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_k = -\partial_t F_k \partial^0 + \partial^i F_k \partial_i - \partial_i F_k \partial^i$$

of the function ζ^*F_k on T^*Q with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_T$ (1) is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W)$, and is the image $\gamma_k = Th_r \circ \vartheta_k \circ \zeta$ of the Hamiltonian vector field ϑ_k of the first integral F_k on W with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_V$ (4). The vector fields ϑ_k on W are vertical relative to the fibration $W \to \mathbb{R}$, and are tangent to compact manifolds. Therefore, they are complete, and so are the vector fields γ_k on U. Thus, U is the desired open neighbourhood of the invariant manifold h(N).

In accordance with theorem 2, the open neighbourhood U of the invariant manifold h(N) of the autonomous CIS in theorem 3 is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = V' \times (\mathbb{R} \times T^m) \qquad V' = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V \tag{24}$$

provided with the action-angle coordinates $(I_0, \ldots, I_m; t, \phi^1, \ldots, \phi^m)$ such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega = dI_0 \wedge dt + dI_k \wedge d\phi^k.$$

From the construction in theorem 2, $I_0 = J_0 = \mathcal{H}^*$ and the corresponding generalized angle coordinate is $x^0 = t$, while the first integrals $J_k = \zeta^* F_k$ depend only on the action coordinates I_i .

Since the action coordinates I_i are independent of the coordinate J_0 , the symplectic annulus W' (24) inherits the fibration

$$W' \xrightarrow{\zeta} W'' = V \times (\mathbb{R} \times T^m). \tag{25}$$

From the relation similar to (3), the product W'' (25), coordinated by $(I_i; t, \phi^i)$, is provided with the Poisson structure

$$\{f, f'\}_W = \partial^i f \partial_i f' - \partial_i f \partial^i f'$$
 $f, f' \in C^{\infty}(W'').$

Therefore, one can regard W'' as the momentum phase space of the time-dependent CIS in question around the invariant manifold N.

It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T of the autonomous Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^* = I_0$ is $\gamma_T = \partial_t$, and so is its projection γ_H (6) on W''. Consequently, the Hamilton equation (7) of a time-dependent CIS with respect to the action–angle coordinates take the

Letter to the Editor L445

form $\dot{I}_i=0, \dot{\phi}^i=0$. Hence, $(I_i;t,\phi^i)$ are the initial data coordinates. One can introduce such coordinates as follows. Given the fibration ξ (10), let us provide $N_0\times V\subset V_0^*Q$ in theorem 3 with action–angle coordinates $(\overline{I}_i;\overline{\phi^i})$ for the CIS $\{i_0^*F_k\}$ on the symplectic leaf V_0^*Q . Then, it is readily observed that $(\overline{I}_i;t,\overline{\phi^i})$ are time-dependent action–angle coordinates on W'' (25) such that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\overline{I}_j)$ of a time-dependent CIS relative to these coordinates vanishes, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^*=\overline{I}_0$. Using the canonical transformations (21), one can consider time-dependent action–angle coordinates besides the initial data coordinates. Given a smooth function \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{R}^m , one can provide W'' with the action–angle coordinates

$$I_0 = \overline{I}_0 - \mathcal{H}(\overline{I}_j)$$
 $I_i = \overline{I}_i$ $\phi^i = \overline{\phi}^i + t \partial^i \mathcal{H}(\overline{I}_j)$

such that $\mathcal{H}(I_i)$ is a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS on W''.

References

- [1] Arnold V (ed) 1988 Dynamical Systems III (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Bouquet S and Bourdier A 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57 1273
- [3] Dewisme A and Bouquet S 1993 J. Math. Phys. 34 997
- [4] Kaushal R 1998 Int. J. Theor. Phys. 37 1793
- [5] Lazutkin V 1993 KAM Theory and Semiclassical Approximations to Eigenfunctions (Berlin: Springer)
- [6] Lewis H, Leach O, Bouquet S and Feix M 1992 J. Math. Phys. 33 591
- [7] Lichtenberg A and Liebermann M 1983 Regular and Stochastic Motion (Berlin: Springer)
- [8] Mangiarotti L and Sardanashvily G 1998 Gauge Mechanics (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [9] Sardanashvily G 1998 J. Math. Phys. 39 2714
- [10] Sardanashvily G 2000 J. Math. Phys. 41 5245